When families call my office about estate planning, the most emotional asset is almost always the house. Retirement accounts and investments are important, but the family home carries memories, history, and often the bulk of a family's wealth.

The first question I usually hear is some version of:

"Is it better to leave a house in a will or trust?"

The honest answer is, it depends. It depends on your age, your health, your children's circumstances, your state's probate process, and whether you are worried about long-term care costs or taxes. Let's walk through how I analyze this with real clients, and where wills, living trusts, and irrevocable trusts each make sense.

What comprehensive estate planning really means

Many people think estate planning is just signing a will. A comprehensive estate planning conversation goes much wider, and it is where decisions about your home actually fit.

When I talk about comprehensive estate planning, I mean a coordinated plan that addresses four areas at the same time:

1. Who receives what, and how, when you die
2. Who can act for you if you are incapacitated
3. How to minimize cost, delay, and taxes
4. How to protect assets, where appropriate, from creditors and long-term care costs

Your documents usually include a will, a power of attorney, health care directives, and often a revocable living trust. For some clients, we add an irrevocable trust, beneficiary designations changes, and careful titling of accounts and real estate.

Your house sits right in the middle of all this. Whether you keep it in your individual name, move it to a revocable trust, or place it in an irrevocable trust affects probate, taxes, family dynamics, and potential exposure to nursing home and Medicaid rules.

Will vs trust for the family home: how they actually work

A will is a set of instructions to the probate court about who should receive your assets after you die. It only applies to property that is in your name alone when you pass.

A revocable living trust is a legal entity you create during your lifetime. You transfer your house into the trust, but you keep control as trustee while you are alive and well. When you die, your successor trustee follows the trust's terms without a court probate for those trust assets.

For most families, both a will and a trust are used together. The will acts as a safety net for anything not titled in the trust, while the trust acts as the workhorse for the house and main financial accounts.

Here is how the choice plays out in real life.

Leaving your home in a will

If you leave your house in a will, the title remains in your personal name until you die. After your death, your executor files the will with the probate court, is formally appointed, and then has authority to transfer or sell the house according to your instructions.

This can work well if:

- Your state has a simple, relatively inexpensive probate process
- Your heirs all get along and are reasonably responsible
- You are not concerned about Medicaid or major creditor issues
- You do not mind a delay of several months before the house can be sold or retitled

The downside is that probate is public, it can take time, and your executor has to jump through all the court's hoops before they can do much with the property.

Leaving your home in a revocable living trust

If you place your house into a revocable trust during your lifetime, the trust technically owns the house, though you retain full control. On your death, the successor trustee can act almost immediately, without waiting on probate court approval.

Clients often choose this approach when they care about:

- Avoiding probate for the house
- Reducing the risk of family conflict
- Making it easier for a child to sell or manage the home
- Coordinating more complex inheritances, such as life-use rights or staggered distributions

For example, I routinely draft trusts where a surviving spouse lives in the house for life, but upon that spouse's death, the house (or sale proceeds) goes to children from a first marriage. Doing this cleanly inside a trust usually works better than trying to script it all inside a will.

How much does it cost to have an estate planning attorney?

People are often hesitant to call a lawyer because of price anxiety, but they also underestimate the cost of doing nothing. I have seen families spend tens of thousands in avoidable probate litigation because a parent tried to "save money" by copying a friend's will.

Fees vary by region and complexity, but in many areas you will see ranges like these:

- Basic will-based plan for an individual: roughly a few hundred to around 1,000 dollars
- Will-based plan for a couple: perhaps 800 to 2,000 dollars
- Revocable living trust-based plan (with deed work on the house): often 1,500 to 4,000 dollars or more depending on complexity and state

Medicaid planning with irrevocable trusts, or planning for special needs children, can cost more because of the additional drafting, counseling, and coordination involved.

The right question is not just "How much does it cost to have an estate planning attorney?" but "What is the cost of not doing this correctly?" If you own a house, the potential savings in probate fees, delays, and family conflict often dwarf the up-front planning cost.

Probate, your home, and which bank accounts avoid probate

Understanding probate helps clarify why people lean toward trusts.

Probate is the court process of transferring assets titled in the deceased person's name. Real estate titled solely in your name, with no transfer-on-death designation or trust, will generally go through probate.

Some assets avoid probate entirely because they pass by contract, not by your will. Common examples include:

- Retirement accounts with named beneficiaries
- Life insurance with beneficiaries
- Bank and brokerage accounts titled "payable on death" or "transfer on death"
- Joint accounts with right of survivorship

So which bank accounts avoid probate? Those with properly completed beneficiary designations or survivorship language, as long as the named person survives you. In some states, you can even record a transfer-on-death deed for real estate, which lets a house pass directly to a named beneficiary outside probate.

That said, beneficiary designations are not a complete estate plan. They can conflict with your will, create unequal inheritances by accident, or send money outright to a child who really should not receive a large sum in their own name. This ties directly into one of the most common inheritance mistakes.

The most common inheritance mistake I see

The most common inheritance mistake is not a fancy tax oversight. It is leaving assets outright to the wrong people, in the wrong way, at the wrong time.

Typical examples:

A client names a troubled adult child as joint owner on the house, thinking it will "avoid probate." The child's creditors then go after the house, or the child refuses to cooperate when selling. Another client leaves a large inheritance to a child who receives public benefits, unintentionally jeopardizing Medicaid or disability support. Or a parent names an ex-spouse as beneficiary years after a divorce, simply because nobody checked the old forms.

Comprehensive estate planning is less about clever documents and more about aligning titling, beneficiaries, wills, and trusts so they all tell the same story.

Who should you not name as a beneficiary?

There is no universal rule, but I encourage clients to think carefully before naming:

- Minors, unless a trust or custodial account will receive the funds on their behalf
- Individuals with serious creditor problems, addictions, or unstable marriages
- Beneficiaries receiving needs-based government benefits, without careful planning
- People you do not actually trust to handle money prudently

Instead of naming them directly, we often create a trust inside the will or revocable trust, then have the inheritance flow into that protective structure. That allows you to care for a loved one without handing them a blank check.

What should not be included in a will

Clients sometimes want to pour every detail of their lives into the will. Some things do not belong there.

I typically advise that you do not put:

Highly detailed funeral instructions that may not be read in time, especially if your family acts quickly. Those wishes are better in a separate letter or shared directly with loved ones. Day-to-day caregiving instructions for pets or minor children also fit better in a side letter than in a binding legal document. Anything illegal or clearly unenforceable should be left out entirely. Extremely specific personal property gifts that you may change frequently, such as “my blue vase to Sarah,” become a hassle to update. Use a personal property memorandum if your state allows, or keep the will more general. Passwords and sensitive financial details should never go in a will, since probate filings may be public.

The will should stay focused on who is in charge, who receives what in broad strokes, and how conflicts should be resolved.

Irrevocable trusts, the 5-year rule, and nursing home concerns

When the conversation turns to "Can a nursing home take your house if it's in a trust?" What people really mean is: Will long-term care costs or Medicaid force the sale of my home and wipe out my children’s inheritance?

Here is the hard truth. If your home is still in your name or in a revocable living trust, it is generally considered an available asset for Medicaid purposes, subject to state-specific exemptions and rules for a primary residence. A revocable trust will not shield it from nursing home costs.

An irrevocable trust can help, but only if set up carefully and early enough.

The 5-year rule for irrevocable trusts and Medicaid

Medicaid has a “lookback” period, typically five years, where it reviews transfers you made for less than fair market value. This includes placing assets into certain kinds of irrevocable trusts. If Medicaid finds such transfers during the lookback, it can impose a penalty period during which Medicaid will not pay for your care.

So what is the 5 year rule for irrevocable trusts? In plain terms: Assets you place in a properly designed Medicaid asset protection trust are generally protected from Medicaid only after they have been in that trust for at least five years (some states have different periods for certain programs). Transfers inside that window can cause problems.

This is what people often call the “Medicaid 5 year lookback.” When clients ask about “How to avoid Medicaid 5 year lookback” or mention a “Medicaid loophole,” I explain that there is no magic trick. There is only early planning, honest disclosure, and using the rules as written.

If you wait until you are already in a nursing home, options are limited. At that point, we can sometimes use partial protection strategies, but not the full benefits of early irrevocable trust planning.

The 7-year rule for trusts

You may also hear about a “7 year rule for trusts.” That language often comes from the United Kingdom’s inheritance tax regime, where certain gifts fall off the tax radar after seven years if you survive that long. It is not an American Medicaid concept.

In the U.S., the key timing numbers are the five year lookback for long-term care Medicaid and various tax timing rules, not a universal seven-year rule. Still, clients sometimes blend the concepts, so it is worth clarifying what applies in your jurisdiction.

The 5 by 5 rule in estate planning

The “5 by 5 rule” usually comes up in the context of trusts that give a beneficiary a limited power to withdraw principal each year. It often allows the beneficiary to withdraw the greater of 5,000 dollars or 5 percent of the trust principal annually.

This limited withdrawal power can be a useful tool. It gives the beneficiary some access without blowing up tax planning or asset protection features of the trust. For example, a trust that leaves your house to children might allow them to withdraw a modest percentage of proceeds each year if the house is sold, but not empty the trust entirely in a single impulsive decision.

Used carefully, the 5 by 5 rule offers flexibility while keeping key protections in place.

What are the only three reasons you should have an irrevocable trust?

In practice, people use irrevocable trusts for many nuanced reasons, but when I strip it down in client conversations, I often see three main justifications:

1. Long-term care and Medicaid planning, to protect some assets for the family while still qualifying for help with nursing home costs, if done early and properly.
2. Estate and gift tax planning for larger estates, particularly where future growth of assets should occur outside your taxable estate.
3. Asset protection in higher-risk situations, such as business owners, professionals with significant liability exposure, or families wanting to shield inheritances from beneficiaries’ divorces and creditors.

If a proposed irrevocable trust does not clearly serve at least one of those purposes, with a concrete benefit that outweighs the loss of control, I usually question whether it is the right tool.

The downside of putting your house in an irrevocable trust

Irrevocable trusts are powerful but come with serious trade-offs.

Once you transfer your home to a properly drafted irrevocable trust, you generally cannot take it back in your own name. You lose direct ownership, and sometimes control, depending on how the trust is designed. Refinancing can become more complicated. You may not be able simply to change your mind later.

Tax consequences also require careful analysis. In many cases, a well-structured irrevocable trust still allows your heirs to receive a step-up in basis for capital gains tax when you die, but a poorly drafted document can inadvertently forfeit that benefit. That can cost your children far more in taxes if they later sell the house.

Finally, moving your home into such a trust may feel emotionally unsettling, particularly for older clients who take pride in home ownership. I never recommend it lightly. The question is not “Can I” but “Should I, given my health, my age, my assets, and my family situation?”

What is the best way to leave your house to your children?

There is no single best way for everyone. I usually walk families through three broad approaches, each with variations.

First, leave the house outright in a will, so the children own it directly after probate. This is simplest but assumes the children are cooperative, financially stable, and can manage decisions about whether to sell, rent, or occupy the property.

Second, title the house in a revocable living trust, then direct in the trust that the home be sold and the proceeds divided, or that one child may buy out the others under set terms. This often reduces conflict, especially where one child wants to live in the house and others do not.

Third, for families with significant long-term care concerns or larger estates, consider an irrevocable trust structure, started early, that holds the home for the children with rules about who can live there, who pays expenses, and when it may be sold. This approach is more complex and usually reserved for specific risk profiles.

In every version, I prefer clarity over sentimentality. If some children have put sweat equity or financial support into the house, that should be acknowledged in the plan rather than left to assumption.

How much can you inherit from your parents without paying taxes?

The answer depends heavily on which tax you mean.

For federal estate tax, as of recent years, the exemption has been very high, in the multi-million dollar range per person. That means the majority of families do not pay federal estate tax at all, and children can inherit hundreds of thousands or even millions from their parents without federal estate tax. However, that exemption is scheduled to decrease in 2026 unless Congress acts, and some states have their own separate estate or inheritance taxes with much lower thresholds.

Income tax is another story. Most inheritances themselves are not subject to income tax, but income generated by inherited assets after you receive them generally is. Retirement accounts can trigger income tax when withdrawn. A home, on the other hand, often benefits from a step-up in basis at the parents' death, which can significantly reduce capital gains tax when the children later sell the property.

When clients ask, "How much can you inherit from your parents without paying taxes?" the real answer is that most modest estates face more risk from poor planning, probate delay, and family conflict than from federal estate tax. Higher net worth families, and those in states with their own death taxes, absolutely need more targeted planning.

What is the best way to gift money to an adult child?

The family home is not the only asset in play. Cash gifts to adult children can support them now and also affect future planning.

From a tax perspective, the "best way to gift money to an adult child" usually means staying aware of annual gift tax exclusions and longer-term estate goals. Many parents give amounts that fall within the annual exclusion, which has been in the mid-five-figure range per recipient in recent years, to avoid having to file a gift tax return. Larger gifts can be made, but they may eat into your lifetime estate and gift tax exemption.

Beyond taxes, the real question is how much control or structure is appropriate. Some parents gift money into a trust for a child rather than outright, especially if the child has spending issues, unstable relationships, or creditor problems. That can mesh with the overall estate plan, including what eventually happens to the house.

If you are also concerned about Medicaid planning, substantial gifts can trigger the lookback penalties discussed earlier. Timing and coordination matter.

Can a nursing home take your house if it is in a trust?

This question blends moral fear with legal detail. A nursing home itself does not "take" your house. The issue is whether you must spend down assets, including home equity, to qualify for Medicaid, or whether the state can assert a claim after your death through estate recovery.

If the house is in your individual name or a revocable trust, it is generally still exposed, subject to primary residence rules and spousal protections. If the house was transferred into an appropriate irrevocable Medicaid trust more than five years before applying, in many states it may be better protected.

However, a poorly drafted or last-minute trust can easily fail. I have reviewed "Medicaid trusts" pulled from the internet that did not actually protect anything, because the parent kept too much control or used the wrong language.

The so-called "Medicaid loophole" is really just early, lawful planning under complex regulations. Anyone who promises foolproof protection without a candid explanation of the 5 year rule for irrevocable trusts is overselling.

Which bank accounts avoid probate and how that ties into your home plan

Bank and investment accounts can be structured to bypass probate through transfer-on-death or payable-on-death designations, or through joint titling with survivorship. These techniques are useful, *Comprehensive Estate Planning Attorney Near Me* but they must be coordinated with how the house is handled.

For example, if the house passes under a trust to be divided evenly among three children, but a large bank account goes directly to only one child by beneficiary designation, you may unintentionally create resentment and imbalance. That tension often surfaces around decisions about the house.

Comprehensive planning means looking at the whole balance sheet, not just the deed.

Pulling the pieces together with a local estate planning attorney

Working with an estate planning attorney near you adds a practical advantage: probate and Medicaid rules are highly state specific. So are transfer-on-death deed options, homestead laws, and tax nuances.

A good planning process will not just file you into a generic "will or trust" category. Instead, it will ask:

- How important is avoiding probate in your state, given the value and nature of your assets?
- Are you realistically at risk for nursing home care that might require Medicaid, and if so, what is your time horizon?
- Do your children cooperate well, or is it wiser to build in neutral decision makers and clearer rules around the home?
- Are any of your beneficiaries vulnerable due to disability, addiction, creditors, or marital instability?
- What level of simplicity versus protection matches your values and budget?

For one family, the right answer is a straightforward will that directs the house to be sold and the proceeds split. For another, it is a carefully funded revocable trust that allows a child to live in the house for a set period before sale. For a third, especially where long-term care and tax issues are front and center, an early, thoughtfully designed irrevocable trust might be appropriate despite its downsides.

The key is to treat your house not as a symbol, but as a significant asset wrapped in emotion. With clear planning, you can respect both.

Parker Law Offices
28202 Cabot Rd 3rd Floor, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677
9493853130