

When you buy a business, price gets most of the airtime. Terms decide the outcome. The riskiest term is the one you did not think to negotiate, then have to litigate later. Representations, warranties, and indemnities are the part of the purchase agreement that protect you when the reality of the business diverges from what you were told. They are not legal wallpaper. They are insurance you design yourself.

I learned this the hard way early in my career. A client bought a regional HVAC company that looked pristine: steady EBITDA, loyal customer base, clean books, and a seasoned general manager. Ninety days post-close, we learned the company had recognized maintenance revenue upfront for years, not as services were rendered. No one caught it in diligence. Cash looked fine, margins looked great, but deferred revenue was a ghost. The deal would have imploded if we had not negotiated a robust financial statement representation, a no-sandbagging clause, and a well-funded escrow. The seller disagreed on interpretation, then conceded once we pointed to the language that tied GAAP conformity to indemnification with no knowledge qualifier. The claim took time, but the structure saved the client seven figures.



That is the job of reps, warranties, and indemnities, often shortened to RWI. Get them right and you turn uncertain threats into contractual rights with money behind them. Get them wrong and you own every skeleton in the closet.

What these terms actually mean

Representations are statements of fact about the business as of signing or closing. Warranties are promises that those facts are true and, in some contexts, promises that future facts will remain true for a period. U.S. deal practice generally pairs them, and most agreements just say "representations and warranties" without drawing a fine distinction. Indemnities are the remedy. If a representation is untrue, the seller must compensate the buyer for losses that flow from that breach, subject to agreed limits.

Picture it like this: the seller says the financial statements are accurate, GAAP-compliant, and fairly present the company's condition. That is a rep. The purchase agreement says if that statement proves false, the seller will make you whole for the difference plus related costs. That is the indemnity. The agreement then adds rules: how much is recoverable, how long you have to bring a claim, what counts as a loss, whether your knowledge blocks recovery, and which bucket pays.

In private company M&A, these are the pressure points where business judgment and legal drafting meet.

The anatomy of seller reps that matter

A standard purchase agreement might list forty to sixty representations. Not all carry equal weight. Focus on the ones that move actual dollars or create operational headaches.

Financial statements and undisclosed liabilities. This is the spine of the bargain. You want an unqualified statement that the financials are prepared in accordance with GAAP (or a specified basis) consistently applied, and that they fairly present the financial condition and results of operations. Push to include an “undisclosed liabilities” representation stating that, other than items in the financials or the disclosure schedules, the company has no liabilities of any kind, whether accrued, contingent, or otherwise. This catches off-balance-sheet obligations and slow-moving landmines like payroll tax underwithholding or warranty reserves that are far too skinny.

Compliance with laws and permits. Narrow it to the laws that matter, but do not let it turn into a vague gesture. If the target is a healthcare practice, compliance needs to address Stark, Anti-Kickback, and HIPAA specifically. If it is an e-commerce brand, you care about consumer protection, privacy, product safety, and import rules. For manufacturers, environmental permits and OSHA history deserve line items.



Taxes. You need clear statements that all tax returns have been timely filed, are complete and correct, and all taxes shown to be due have been paid. Add that there are no pending audits and no written claims from taxing authorities, except as disclosed. In asset deals, sales and use tax leakage is common. In stock deals, nexus from remote workers surprises buyers.

Customers and suppliers. It is useful to identify the top ten customers and top ten suppliers by revenue and purchase volume, then get a rep that none has given written notice of termination or material adverse change. Do not accept a “knowledge qualifier” for this one unless the seller is truly decentralized. If a key supplier is month-to-month, you should know it.

No material changes. Between the date of the last financials and closing, you want assurance that the business has been operated in the ordinary course, and that there have been no material adverse changes. This helps if the seller gutted working capital or prepaid expenses to goose trailing margins.

Intellectual property. For tech or brand-driven companies, anchor ownership, assignment, and absence of infringement claims. If the business depends on licensed IP, confirm the licenses are assignable and paid up.

Employee and benefits matters. You need clarity on accrued but unpaid bonuses, PTO liabilities, 401(k) compliance, misclassification of contractors, and any long-tail disputes. Wage and hour claims often appear six to twelve months after a change in ownership, especially if there has been sloppy timekeeping.

Data privacy and security. If the company collects consumer data, a rep that security practices meet industry standards is nice, but it is better to tie compliance to specific regimes like CCPA, CPRA, GDPR, or sector-specific rules. Data breach history should be disclosed with dates and scope, not hand-waving.

Litigation. You want to know what is pending, threatened in writing, settled but with surviving obligations, and any consent decrees or injunctive limits that might bind you. In my experience, “threatened” matters often carry the worst surprises because they are the ones no one has priced yet.

The trick is to calibrate these reps to the target’s profile. A 40-year-old plumbing business with paper invoices needs different reps than a venture-backed SaaS company running on multi-tenant cloud architecture. Overbroad reps spook sellers and create performative negotiations. Underbroad reps leave you exposed where you cannot afford it.

Knowledge qualifiers, sandbagging, and the art of not getting boxed in

A seller will push to add “to the seller’s knowledge” in front of sensitive reps. Knowledge qualifiers limit liability to what specific individuals actually know. These qualifiers have a place, yet they must be defined with precision. Good definitions name the people whose knowledge matters, state whether knowledge is actual or includes what a reasonable inquiry would have uncovered, and clarify that constructive knowledge is included if diligence documents were delivered.

One common trap is letting knowledge swallow critical reps like financial statements or taxes. If those are knowledge-qualified, the burden shifts to you to prove the seller actually knew of the problem, which is hard. Keep knowledge qualifiers off the core financial and tax reps. They are appropriate for IP infringement, customer plans, or third-party intentions where the seller cannot promise the future.

Sandbagging rules decide what happens if you knew about a breach before closing, but decided to close anyway. Pro-sandbagging clauses allow you to recover whether or not you knew. Anti-sandbagging clauses block recovery if you had actual knowledge. Neutral silence leaves you in the hands of state law, which varies. In competitive processes, sellers fight hard for anti-sandbagging. Buyers should resist. If a defect is known, price it in or carve it out with a special indemnity, but keep the right to recover if the breach is bigger than you could quantify.



Materiality scrapes and why they matter for recoveries

Sellers use materiality qualifiers to make reps seem reasonable: no “material” contract breaches, no “material” undisclosed liabilities. That feels fair until you make a claim and the seller argues the breach is not material enough to count.

A materiality scrape deletes materiality thresholds for purposes of determining breach and calculating losses. In other words, materiality still limits whether the rep was true at signing, but it does not reduce indemnification if you prove a breach. Buyers should seek a double scrape - ignored for breach and for loss calculation. Sellers often accept this if you also agree to a meaningful basket and cap.

Indemnity baskets, caps, and survival periods

These are the financial governors that turn theoretical protection into actual dollars.

The basket operates like a deductible or a tipping threshold. With a true deductible basket, the seller pays losses only above a certain amount, say 0.5 percent to 1.0 percent of enterprise value. With a tipping basket, once aggregate claims exceed the threshold, the seller pays from the first dollar. Buyers prefer tipping baskets. Sellers push for deductibles. If the diligence risk profile is elevated, consider a smaller basket and a tipping mechanic.

Caps limit total seller liability for general reps. Market ranges depend on deal size and risk. Under \$20 million EV, a 10 percent to 20 percent cap is still common in private deals without insurance. Over \$50 million, caps often sit at 5 percent to 10 percent, or lower if there is rep and warranty insurance. Fundamental reps - title to shares or assets, authority, capitalization, taxes, and sometimes IP ownership - are often uncapped or capped at the purchase price. Tailored special indemnities can be separate from caps entirely.

Survival periods set the time you have to bring a claim. For general reps, 12 to 24 months is typical. Taxes often survive through the statute of limitations plus a buffer. Fundamental reps may survive indefinitely or for five to seven years. Make sure survival lines up with audit cycles and seasonality. If the target’s biggest sales spike happens in Q4, a 12-month survival that ends in September is not doing you much good.

Escrow, holdbacks, and special indemnities

An indemnity promise means little without a clear source of funds. Most deals use a portion of the purchase price to fund an escrow, typically 5 percent to 15 percent, held for the survival period of the general reps. In smaller transactions, a direct holdback can be simpler and cheaper than a bank-administered escrow. Either way, define the release schedule, set clear notice and objection procedures, and state how disputed amounts are handled.

Special indemnities protect against specific risks surfaced in diligence, outside the general reps. Think sales tax exposure in six states, a lingering dispute with a distributor, an environmental issue on a leased site, or a software license that is not assignable until a customer consents. These indemnities can have their own caps and survival periods, often higher and longer. They also justify separate escrow buckets. I worked on a food manufacturing deal where listeria testing protocols had been sloppy three years prior. No incidents, but the paper trail was thin. We structured a special indemnity capped at \$2.5 million with a three-year survival, backed by a \$750,000 sub-escrow. The seller did not love it. They also wanted the close to happen. That sub-escrow was never touched, and it let the lender get comfortable enough to fund.

Choosing between asset and stock deals for liability control

Form matters. In an asset purchase, you buy selected assets and assume identified liabilities. That gives you a better liability perimeter. In a stock purchase, you step into the company as-is, with all its obligations. The reps, warranties, and indemnities carry more weight in a stock deal because you are not filtering liabilities at the door.

That said, even in asset deals, successorship doctrines and tax rules can saddle buyers with unpaid payroll taxes, sales taxes, and certain environmental obligations. Do not let the “asset deal is safer” mantra lull you. Use the same rigor, then adjust the reps to match the structure. For example, in an asset deal you still want tax reps that cover periods before closing for liabilities that can follow the assets. In a stock deal, scrutinize capitalization, option grants, and any SAFE or convertible notes that might convert at closing in a way you did not intend.

The Dealmaker's Academy

42 Lytton Rd

New Barnet

Barnet

EN5 5BY

United Kingdom

Tel: +44 2030 264483

How rep and warranty insurance changes the playbook

Rep and warranty insurance (RWI) has moved from private equity to the broader market. It lets the buyer claim against an insurer for breaches of seller reps, which can reduce escrow size and smooth negotiations with sellers who want a clean exit. Premiums have ranged from 2.5 percent to 4.0 percent of limits, plus underwriting fees. Retentions typically start around 1 percent of enterprise value and can step down after 12 months.

RWI changes dynamics, but it is not a silver bullet. Insurers exclude known issues, forward-looking statements, purchase price adjustments, underfunded pensions, and often open tax items. To get coverage, your diligence must be deep enough for the underwriter to rely on. You still want a meaningful set of seller reps and a small seller escrow to cover the retention and excluded risks. Where RWI shines is in competitive processes and founder exits where the seller will not tolerate a large escrow or long survival periods. It also helps when a fragmented cap table makes collecting from many small sellers impractical.

Aligning reps with diligence - and using schedules wisely

Diligence should not be a scavenger hunt. If you find a risk, decide whether to price it, close it, or insure it with a special indemnity. Then reflect that decision in the disclosure schedules. Schedules are where the seller lists exceptions to the reps. If the contract rep says, "All material contracts are listed on Schedule 4.11," the schedule must be complete. If the litigation rep says, "No actions pending other than as disclosed," the schedule should include case captions, jurisdictions, and claim summaries, not just "vendor dispute."

Some buyers treat schedules as administrative paperwork. That is where deals go to die later. I once saw a schedule of top customers with annual revenue figures that were accurate but covered fiscal years, while the LOI referenced calendar years. On paper, the customer concentration test was passed. In reality, two customers were shrinking and one was on the brink of churn. We caught it because we reconciled AR by customer against both fiscal and calendar periods. The rep stayed, we carved out a special indemnity for customer churn above a threshold, and we tied a portion of the earnout to retention.

Working capital adjustments and RWI interactions

The closing working capital adjustment often determines whether your first month of ownership feels healthy or starved. If the seller ran down payables or accelerated receivables, the adjustment is supposed to catch it. Make sure the definitions in the working capital exhibit align with the accounting basis promised in the reps. If the rep says "GAAP consistently applied," but the peg calculation uses an eclectic hybrid of cash basis and management's adjustments, you have created a fight.

Rep and warranty insurance rarely covers purchase price adjustments. If the financial statements are off but do not technically breach GAAP as drafted, your only remedy may be the peg. Spend the time here. Run multiple seasonality scenarios and test the math with at least two month-end closes. If possible, include a post-close true-up with joint accountant review and a clear dispute mechanism.

Practical negotiation order and where to spend your leverage

I like to sequence negotiations to lock in economic backstops before haggling over adjectives. Start with structure: stock or asset, inclusion of RWI, size of escrow, and whether there will be any special indemnities. Next, agree on survival periods and the big dollar limits: baskets, caps, and which reps are fundamental. Only then work through the specific language of the reps with the disclosure schedules in mind. If you debate knowledge qualifiers and materiality scrapes before you know whether there is a 10 percent or a 2 percent cap, you are fighting about the width of a door without confirming the house has a roof.

Sellers respond better to reasoned risk allocation than to rote "market" citations. Show why a certain rep matters in this particular business. A seller [The Dealmaker's Academy Buy a Business](#) who sees you as thoughtful on risk is more likely to grant a narrower, more precise rep than a broad one that triggers defensiveness.

Buyer-side discipline after signing and post-close claims

Your job is not finished at closing. If a rep proves false, you need a clean record to support indemnification. Create an internal claims playbook:

- Keep a live calendar of survival deadlines, dispute notice cutoffs, and escrow release dates, and review it monthly.

- Centralize potential issues in a simple log with dates, facts, estimated losses, and correspondence, then have counsel triage what qualifies as a claim under the agreement.
- Notify early and precisely, citing the exact rep section and attaching evidence, to avoid disputes over sufficiency of notice.

Sophisticated sellers and insurers scrutinize notice letters. Vague notices invite denials. If you lack full information, deliver a protective notice within the deadline, then supplement. Courts generally do not penalize a buyer for notifying early.

Common pitfalls I still see in lower middle market deals

Small deals do not get a free pass from big problems. I see the same issues, just with less cushion.

Over-relying on a broker's CIM. Information memoranda are marketing documents. They are not disclosure schedules and they are not reps. If a statement in a CIM is wrong and you did not embed it in a rep, you might have no claim.

Letting escrow shrink too far. Sellers often insist that the business "is simple" and "there is nothing to worry about" to justify a tiny escrow. If the escrow cannot realistically cover the most probable claim types, you are one employee complaint or state tax audit away from an ugly stalemate.

Accepting overbroad "ordinary course" carve-outs. Sellers sometimes insert an exception for any actions taken in response to events outside their control. Without guardrails, that can bless aggressive pre-close cost cutting that leaves you with deferred maintenance or depleted inventory.

Missing third-party consents. If key contracts require consent to assignment, a rep will help you pursue post-close damages, but it will not keep the supplier from terminating. Map consents early, build a closing condition for the critical ones, and use special indemnities where you cannot get consent in time.

Confusing indemnified "losses" with only hard costs. Define losses to include reasonable attorneys' fees, costs of investigation, and diminution in value where appropriate. Otherwise, you end up eating the expense of proving your own claim.

How this plays into Business Acquisition Training and buyer readiness

If you run a Business Acquisition Training program or are self-educating for Buying a Business, spend deliberate time on live contract language, not just concepts. Pick three real purchase agreements from closed deals in your industry. Redline them side-by-side. Trace how each defines "Losses," "Material Adverse Effect," and "Knowledge." Compare the financial reps, the tax reps, and the contract schedules. Then map each to an actual incident you can find in public filings or court dockets. The discipline of seeing how words met reality cements judgment.

Role-play negotiations. Have one person argue seller-side for narrowing the undisclosed liabilities rep with a knowledge qualifier. Have the buyer respond by proposing a targeted special indemnity for a discovered risk, in exchange for accepting the qualifier elsewhere. Practice the back-and-forth until you can move pieces without losing the full-board picture.

When to bring in specialists

General counsel or a deal lawyer can quarterback, but certain reps benefit from specialists. Cybersecurity posture demands a technical review, not just a policy read. State and local tax exposure is a niche discipline. Environmental

sampling requires a consultant. Labor and benefits calls for ERISA expertise. The cost of a targeted review is usually a fraction of a single indemnity claim and can inform tighter reps and smarter escrows.

One more point on accountants. If you expect to rely on GAAP compliance reps, your QofE provider should not merely normalize EBITDA. Ask them to assess policy consistency, revenue recognition timing, reserve adequacy, and cutoff procedures. Build that analysis into your rep language by reference where possible. Underwriters for RWI will ask for it anyway.

A practical checklist for your next LOI and purchase agreement

Use this compact run-up as you move from LOI to definitive agreement:

- Identify the top five risk areas from diligence and decide for each whether to price it, fix it pre-close, cover it with a special indemnity, or accept it.
- Lock economic backstops early: escrow amount and buckets, basket type and size, cap levels, and survival periods, then decide whether to pursue RWI.
- Draft core reps without knowledge qualifiers, add a double materiality scrape, and define losses to include fees and investigation costs.

Three items are enough to keep discipline without turning this into a laundry list. If you cannot check those boxes, you are not ready to sign.

The mindset that prevents regret

Reps, warranties, and indemnities are not about squeezing the other side. They are about moving uncertainty from the buyer's balance sheet into a fair contract framework, with money set aside to address it. The better you tailor them to the realities of the target, the less you will rely on litigation and the more you will focus on running the business you just acquired.

Healthy deals put the most weight on the few promises that matter and attach clear remedies. They avoid grand gestures that look tough but collapse under ambiguity. That is true whether you are bidding on a \$3 million HVAC shop or a \$150 million tech platform. The stakes scale. The logic does not.

If you remember nothing else, remember this: price buys the upside you can see. Reps, warranties, and indemnities protect you from the downside you cannot. Set them with care, fund them with intention, and you will sleep a lot better during your first year of ownership.